

Member Entities Proportional Share of Upper Trinity's Debt

Members with Step-Up Provisions in Contracts	Subscribed Capacities per 2007 Budget	Proportional Percent	Upper Trinity Current Debt	Projected Debt for Upper Trinity's Region C Strategies	Total Possible Debt
Aubrey	0.10	0.17%	\$ 483,683	\$ 1,500,786	\$ 1,984,469
Celina	2.50	4.37%	12,092,077	37,519,641	49,611,718
Corinth	7.50	13.12%	36,276,232	112,558,924	148,835,156
DCFWSO 1A – Castle Hills	2.70	4.72%	13,059,443	40,521,213	53,580,656
DCFWSO 7 – Lantana	3.00	5.25%	14,510,493	45,023,570	59,534,063
Flower Mound	30.00	52.49%	145,104,927	450,235,696	595,340,623
Highland Village	3.00	5.25%	14,510,493	45,023,570	59,534,063
Justin	0.75	1.31%	3,627,623	11,255,892	14,883,515
Krum	0.40	0.70%	1,934,732	6,003,143	7,937,875
LCMUA	3.80	6.65%	18,379,957	57,029,855	75,409,812
Lincoln Park	0.10	0.17%	483,683	1,500,786	1,984,469
Mustang SUD	2.80	4.90%	13,543,126	42,021,998	55,565,124
Sanger	0.50	0.87%	2,418,416	7,503,926	9,922,342
Total	57.15	100.00%	\$ 276,424,885	\$ 857,699,000	\$ 1,134,123,885

Source: Upper Trinity Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended September 30, 2006 and Fiscal Year 2006-2007 Budget